

**SATURDAY, February 10th, 1827.**

The house met. Were present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

On motion by Mr. Peach, the question was propounded, That the decision of the house yesterday on the passage of the bill, entitled, An act to prevent gaming, be now reconsidered? Resolved in the affirmative.

On motion by Mr. Done, the question was propounded, That the said bill be laid on the table? Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Teackle, the question was propounded, Will the house agree to adopt the following amendment, as an additional section of the bill, viz.

"And be it enacted, That nothing herein contained shall operate to abate any prosecution now pending under the laws of this state."

Resolved in the affirmative.

The question then recurred, and was taken. Shall the said bill be passed as amended? Resolved in the affirmative. So the bill having been reconsidered, amended and passed, was sent to the senate for concurrence.

A message from the senate received yesterday by the clerk thereof, was announced by the speaker, returning the bill that was sent from this house for concurrence, entitled, An act to divorce William Scott and Anne Maria Scott, of the city of Baltimore, endorsed, "will pass with the proposed amendment;" which amendment being twice read, by special order, and assented to, the said bill was thereupon ordered to be engrossed.

And also communicating the following message; which was read, viz.

By the Senate, February 9, 1827.

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

The senate concur with your proposition to invite his excellency the governor into the senate chamber on Monday next, at 5 o'clock, in the afternoon, to sign such of the engrossed bills as may then be prepared for his signature; and we have appointed Messrs. Harrison and Herbert to join the gentlemen named by you, to wait on his excellency for that purpose.

By order,

Wm. Kilty, Clk.

Mr. Gibbons, who had for some time been prevented by sickness from attending, again appeared in the house.

On motion by Mr. Teackle, the question was propounded, That the decision of the house yesterday on the passage of the bill, entitled, An act to alter and amend the third section of an act, entitled, An act respecting elections, be now reconsidered? Determined in the negative.

The said bill, and also the bill passed by this house yesterday, entitled, An act to authorise and empower the justices of the levy court of Charles county to fix and regulate the rates of public inns, were then sent to the senate for concurrence.